

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/917,120	07	//27/2001	Scott Fergusson	1137.1101101	2233
28075	7590	05/20/2005		EXAM	IINER
CROMPTO:	•	ER & TUFTE, LI	FELTEN,	FELTEN, DANIEL S	
SUITE 800	LEI AVE	NOL	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPO	LIS, MN	55403-2420	3624		

DATE MAILED: 05/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	09/917,120	FERGUSSON, SCOTT				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Daniel S Felten	3624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 December 2004.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-49 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-49 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/917,120 Page 2

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

1. Receipt of the Amendment filed December 13, 2004 is acknowledged. Claims 1-49 are pending in the application.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed February 18, 2003 as referred to by the response submitted December 13, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant is asked to view again figure 6 of Kenna, and read column 9, line 33 to column 10, line 3. The figure shows a network server which forms the central hub of a real-time communications network. Connected to the network are a plurality of workstations to access the MSA database. The workstations are interpreted as each having data structures associated with the Master account or subsequent associated MSA-accounts within the MSA database for each subscriber to perform various operations (or interact) on individual account data. The network server can also provide a plurality of links to an insurer for real-time data exchange between financial service institutions and the insurer (see column 9, lines 46-51). The database of the invention also maintains a plurality of records (or files) which are used to identify a class of the account that the subscriber is a member of (see column 10, lines 19-44). It is also suggested that funds are transferred to retirement accounts and other holdings (see col. 9, lines 25-32). Thus the rejections from the previous office action are maintained. Rejections of the newly presented claims are made below.

Application/Control Number: 09/917,120 Page 3

Art Unit: 3624

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 48 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kenna

et al (US 6,108, 641) in view of Pickering (US 5,684,965).

Regarding 48: Kenna discloses a real-time network database on server that displays information

on workstation (see column 9, lines 46-51). It would be obvious for one of ordinary skill in the

art at the time of Kenna to use the latest network technology to interact with the database/server.

Thus one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized the advantages of visual display of

the www browser and thus have sought to use these notoriously old and well known visual

advantages in to view data. Thus to employ the use of a www. browser would have been an

obvious expedient well within the ordinary skill in the art.

Regarding claim 49: it is inherent that most binary text information, files or records

(*.txt) that can be displayed on a computer screen is/are compatible with word processing

programs (MicroSoft Word, WordPerfect, etc., Since Kenna discloses real-time communications

that can be readily displayed on a computer screen, it would be obvious that such text

information could be readily read and displayed by a word processing program.

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel S Felten whose telephone number is (703) 305-0724. The examiner can normally be reached on Flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (703) 308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel S Felten Examiner Art Unit 3624

DSF Mov. 1

May 11, 2005

The Sall

VINCENT MILLIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600